(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR(CHHATTISGARH

WRIT PETITION NO. 1840/2002

PETITIONER

A.S. Chaudhary,
S/o. Late Shri Chaudhary Hublal,
Aged 62 years,
Retired Govt.Servant as
Addl.Director/Incharge Director,
Public Instruction,
Raipur (C.G.)

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through Secretary,
School Education Department,
D.K.S. Bhawan,
Mantralaya,
Raipur (C.G.)

2. A.B. Dubey,
Additional Director/Incharge
Director,
S.C.E.R.T., Public Instruction,
Raipur (C.G.)

3. State Administrative Tribunal of
M.P. & C.G. Bench Raipur,
Through its Registrar,
R.D.A. Building,
Raipur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 1860/02
Presented by Shri ...
dated ... 6.9.02

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI, PROHIBITION AND FOR OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, ORDER OR ORDERS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS

RECEIVED COPY
6-9-02

XI-HC-22

(118)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 1840 सन् 2002

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	23.6.2003: Shri Ashish Shrivastava counsel for the petitioner. Shri P.S.Koshy GA for respondent No.1. The petitioner in this petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of Indian, has challenged the order dated 30.8.2002 passed by the M.P. State Administrative Tribunal by which the learned Tribunal has rejected the stay application filed by the petitioner saying therein that the petitioner has already retired on 5.8.2002 and the petition has been filed on 6.8.2002. The tribunal has also observed that ad interim stay order is issued to maintain and preserve the status quo at the time of institution of the proceedings. As the petitioner had already retired from service before filing of the petition, the interim application was rejected by the Tribunal. Even otherwise, if we look at the prayer clause of the petition, the petitioner has prayed that he be allowed to continue till 30.4.2003. Since that date has already expired, there is no merit in the petition. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed. Sd/- Chief Justice	Sd/- L.C.BHADOO Judge

[पीछे देखिये